

Marjorie Bowman and Robert Choplin New Investigator Award

The Marjorie Bowman and Robert Choplin Junior Investigator Award is intended to acknowledge outstanding work of a new primary care investigator. The investigator's achievements will be based upon their independent scholarship and entire body of work, rather than a single work.

<u>Eligibility Criteria</u>: Nominee must be a current NAPCRG member.

The nominee must be within five years of the start of their independent research career. *The start of an independent research career may be defined by the following elements:*

- Five years since completion of terminal degree (e.g. MD, PhD, MSW)
- Five years since first peer-reviewed publication
- Five years since obvious career transition (i.e. clinical to academic shift, earned mid-career Master's degree, shifted disciplinary home, etc.)

This person is a new researcher, and our goal is to encourage that person on their "first win" and investing in the future of the discipline.

Personal	Scoring Range/Definitions	Score	Comments
Achievements	(1 is the lowest score and 5 is top score)		
Did they meet the	Yes or No		
eligibility criteria?	(If no, this is a disqualification)		
Did they follow	0-2		
instructions and	0 – Did not follow instructions		
submit the correct	1 – One lapse (eg: CV too long)		
materials?	2 – Followed all instructions		
Represents a new	1-5		
investigator that	1 – Few presentations or low attendance at annual		
supports moving	meetings, ICPF, or PBRN. Research portfolio is limited		
primary care	for early career individual.		
forward	2 – Singular research portfolio. Limited engagement in		
	more than one arena of research. Limited or no		
	NAPCRG involvement.		
	3– Early career success identified through leadership		
	on projects, some academic productivity, attendance		
	at NAPCRG and investment in the community. Engages		
	community and patients in research. Some		
	dissemination activities other than publications.		
	4- Diversity of research portfolio in both project		
	involvement and topics. Engaged with NAPCRG.		
	Involved in early career mentorship.		
	5 – Strong portfolio for early career with meeting		
	attendance, publications or posters and other		
	dissemination efforts. Early leadership roles,		
	committee membership. Actively engages and		
	disseminates research on patient engagement. Diverse		
	collaborations with other researchers.		



Contribution to	1-3	
and participation	1 – Few presentations, limited attendance at annual	
in NAPCRG (Have	meetings, ICPF, or PBRN.	
they attended	2 – Regular investment in NAPCRG community through	
previously? Have	meeting attendance and internal dissemination.	
they submitted	3 – Regular investment in NAPCRG community, early	
previously?)	leadership roles, committee membership, actively	
	disseminating research among NAPCRG membership.	
Personal	1-3	
statement and	1 - Vague description of research portfolio. Limited	
Letters of Support:	research experience, lack of initial breadth.	
Do they accurately	2- Discusses early career achievements, mentorship,	
describe	research agenda and portfolio. No or limited comment	
philosophy and	on the future career.	
career?	3 – Clearly articulated PC research philosophy and	
	narrative around research body. Anticipates future	
	research needs.	
Rate the nominee	1-3	
based on their	1 – Moderate strength applicant that has completed	
submission	modest, single track research but has not taken early	
packet.	career leadership or very few academic endeavors.	
	Lacks NACPRG involvement and dissemination of	
	research activities.	
	2 – Strong applicant that has philosophy of research,	
	meaningful research, demonstrates professionalism,	
	early leadership.	
	3 – Best possible applicant with wide breadth and	
	depth of meaningful research, demonstrates	
	professionalism, a leader among peers, promotes	
	patient and community involvement, active NAPCRG	
	member, strong dissemination efforts.	
	Total Overall Score	/ 16
1	(Average of the rated metrics)	